

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,235	03/08/2000	KISHORE S SWAMINATHAN	AND1P535	1907
28164 7	590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
ACCENTURE CHICAGO 28164 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH	
P O BOX 1039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	. 60610		2176	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	$\mathcal{U}\!$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
ëi.	Advisory Action	09/521,235	SWAMINATHAN ET AL.				
	, avicely, ieden	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Maikhanh Nguyen	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Thereformal rejudent conditions of the condition	EPLY FILED 11 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS ore, further action by the applicant is required to avection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal action (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see the attachment.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. 🔲 🗆	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. 1	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other: OSEPH FEILD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							

Application/Control Number: 09/521,235

Art Unit: 2176

Applicant argues that "Kitain does not disclose or suggest the step of Claim 1 that requires the industries be associated with the client." (Remarks, page 3, first paragraph)

In response, Kitain does teach (col.21, lines 36-48) the industries (a list of companies) associated with the client (users from a particular company).

Page 2